Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/862,675	OSTER, HEINZ
Examiner	Art Unit
Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722

interview Summary			
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Thu Khanh T. Nguyen</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Gregory P. LaPointe.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 October 2003</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	2]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>N/A</u> .	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The proposed claims seem to overcome the prior art of record. The examier would like to reserved the right to apply new art upon submission of the formal claims</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse signal.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS F THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Mulchanh Hauge Examiner's signature, if required